

INFLUENCE OF STORAGE ON AROMA AND ACTIVITIES OF PHYTASE, PEROXIDASE, AMYLASE AND LIPASE IN BASMATI AND NON-BASMATI RICE GENOTYPES

SOOD, D.R.^{1*}, SINGH, A.P.^{2**}, GUPTA, K.R.^{3***} AND SHILPA^{4****}

(* *Department of Biochemistry*, ***Regional Rice station*, ****Kaul CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar - 125 004*,

*****Department of Veterinary Pathology, SKUAST, R.S. Puram, Jammu*)

(Received 31st July 2006)

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) occupies the enviable prime place among food crops. Increasing productivity and sustained production of rice are critical for food and nutritional security. Rice is consumed largely in the cooked form and is also nutritious and hypoallergenic which make rice products staple food ingredients. It is also used in many value added products due to some of its unique functional properties such as flavour carrying capacity and hypoallergenicity. It is a common practice to age the freshly harvested rice at least for six months before consumption. Storage induced aging has both desirable and understable effects on the endproducts, depending upon storage conditions and rice variety¹. Basmati rice is globally reputed aromatic group of rice and has been very popular and command premium price in domestic as well as in international market. In basmati rice, 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline along with other aromatic compounds are responsible for scent. Inheritance of scent is regulated by different genetic interactions in aromatic rice in collaboration with environment²⁻⁴. Phytates

and phytases have attracted interest in nutrition mostly owing to their relationship on mineral absorption^{5,6}. The nutritional contribution of the plant foods could be significantly increased, if the phytates in these foods were reduced⁷.

During storage, a number of enzyme linked biochemical changes occur such as changes in cooking properties, textural properties, pasting properties, nutrient composition, flavour, etc. Asatsuma *et al*⁸ generated a series of transgenic rice plants with suppressed expression or over expression of α -amylase. Peroxidase activity in stored rice grains lost rapidly and is also related to storage temperature, duration and cultivar⁹. Lipases and lipoxygenase also contribute in ascertaining rice quality and result in considerable deterioration in taste and flavour in cooked and rancid odors in raw rice grains. This study reports changes in aroma and activities of phytase, peroxidase, amylase and lipase in eight basmati and four non basmati rice genotypes raised at regional rice research station, Kaul (Kaithal) of the university during 2003.

Materials and Methods

Paddy seeds of basmati rice genotypes namely Haryana Mehak, HKR-98-476, HBC-19, CSR-30, HKR-228, IET-18004, Super basmati, Pusa Sugandha and non-basmati namely Govind, IR-64, HKR-126, HKR-47 were procured from CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Regional Rice Station, Kaul (Kaithal) during December, 2003. The samples were dehusked and polished uniformly utilizing the local facilities available. The rice grains were cleaned for dust, stones, broken seeds and other foreign materials. One kg sample of each rice genotype was fumigated in air tight circular steel container of 20 kg capacity with one tablet of aluminium phosphide for 3 days as per the instructions mentioned in package of practices of Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar¹⁰. Rice grains (250g) of all the genotypes were stored in the dark for one year at room temperature. During one year of aging, rice samples were removed after four, eight and 12 months. Fresh and aged samples were ground to pass 80 mesh sieve having 0.2 mm particle size using Udytec cyclone sample mill. Rice aroma was determined as per the method described by Mahindru¹¹ and results are expressed as volatile reducing substances (VRS) in terms of mg KMnO_4 /100g dry weight. Activity of amylase was monitored by the method of Bemfield¹² and of phytase by the method of Heinonen and Lahti¹³. Peroxidase and lipase were assayed by using the methods of Summer and Glessing¹⁴ and Sadasivam and Manickam¹⁵ respectively.

Results and Discussion

Volatile reducing substances

Table I summarises the aroma of eight basmati and four non-basmati rice at fresh and 4, 8 and 12 months of storage. The aroma has been expressed as VRS which is defined as mg KMnO_4 required to oxidize aroma released by 100g rice. During storage, the maximum reduction in VRS values was recorded after 4 months of storage and with progress of aging, the reduction in aroma was comparatively less. IET-18004 retained higher VRS values throughout storage period than the remaining genotypes. Among non-basmati types, Govind exhibited higher VRS values throughout the aging period. After eight months of aging VRS values differed significantly from each other in both genotypes. Haryana MeHak, IET-18004 and Pusa Sugandha retained higher VRS content as compared to remaining genotypes. Mahindru¹¹ reported different VRS concentration varying from 73.9 to 95.2 for basmati, 64.2 for Dubra, 71.6 for Chinara and 35.4 for non scented. The present results are more or less in conformity to above values. The disagreement of results in rest of genotypes may be attributed due to differences in genetic make up of research material and various agroclimatic conditions provided to cultivate the crop. The present trend of decline in aroma during storage of basmati (Table I) is also being supported and authenticated^{16,17}. During storage, the desirable volatile substances break down and diffuse out of rice grains to the extent of 40-50 per cent into the environment that also lends support to the present results¹⁸. The

TABLE I
Effect of Aging on Volatile Reducing Substances (mg kmno₄/100g) In Basmati and Non-Basmati Rice Genotypes

| Genotype | Fresh | 4 months stored | 8 months stored | 12 months stored |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Basmati | | | | |
| Haryana Menak | 72.73 ± 2.04 | 72.73 ± 2.04 | 69.53 ± 2.04 | 66.97 ± 1.59 |
| HKR-98-476 | 61.53 ± 1.26 | 56.09 ± 1.59 | 52.57 ± 1.42 | 50.33 ± 2.04 |
| HBC-19 | 65.37 ± 1.42 | 59.93 ± 2.04 | 54.81 ± 2.04 | 51.93 ± 1.26 |
| HKR-228 | 63.13 ± 2.04 | 56.73 ± 2.04 | 55.77 ± 1.42 | 50.33 ± 2.04 |
| IET-18004 | 74.33 ± 3.44 | 76.03 ± 1.96 | 71.45 ± 1.30 | 66.33 ± 2.04 |
| Super basmati | 66.97 ± 1.59 | 62.73 ± 2.37 | 61.53 ± 1.42 | 58.95 ± 1.41 |
| Pusa sugandha | 72.73 ± 2.04 | 71.13 ± 1.26 | 67.93 ± 3.44 | 58.65 ± 1.30 |
| CSR-30 | 67.93 ± 3.44 | 66.93 ± 1.61 | 60.57 ± 1.59 | 56.41 ± 1.80 |
| Mean | 68.09 | 65.28 | 61.77 | 57.48 |
| Non-basmati | | | | |
| Govind | 32.00 ± 0.00 | 24.00 ± 1.60 | 12.40 ± 0.40 | 12.65 ± 0.15 |
| IR-64 | 27.20 ± 1.60 | 16.00 ± 0.00 | 12.65 ± 0.15 | 11.35 ± 0.15 |
| HKR-126 | 27.20 ± 0.32 | 15.90 ± 1.10 | 14.00 ± 0.00 | 11.51 ± 0.01 |
| HKR-47 | 24.00 ± 1.60 | 14.72 ± 0.00 | 12.60 ± 0.20 | 10.75 ± 0.05 |
| Mean | 27.60 | 17.65 | 12.91 | 11.57 |
| Mean (Basmati and non-basmati) | 54.59 | 49.41 | 45.48 | 42.18 |
| SE (m) | 20.37 | 24.32 | 24.73 | 23.22 |
| CD at 5% | 3.37 | 2.73 | 2.71 | 2.38 |

* Each value is an average of two estimations

aroma is governed by number of genes³ which is different in individual genotypes and also its expression is the outcome of genotype environment interaction². Yoshihashi *et al.*¹⁹ revealed that starch bound form and free forms of 2 acetyl- pyrroline may occur in aromatic rice and its biosynthesis before starch formation in rice kernel could play a key role in the aroma quality of aromatic rice. After 12 months of storage Haryana Mahak and Govind retained higher VRS values in basmati and non-basmati genotypes, respectively. The differential release and loss of aroma in stored rice may indicate its

complexing with starch and/or it is being stabilized by some other cellular metabolites that remains yet to be investigated.

Phytase activity

Changes in phytase activity following rice aging are presented in Table II. Among basmati genotypes, the maximum phytase activity was noticed in HBC-19 (12.57 µ moles Pi released /g rice grain) and minimum in Haryana Mehak (10.92 µ moles Pi released/ g rice grain). HKR-98-426, HKR-228 and super basmati attained almost equal phytase activity. Similarly HKR-47 and Govind showed

TABLE II
Influence of Storage Period on Phytase Activity (μ moles Pi released/g grain) In Basmati and Non-Basmati Rice Genotypes

| Genotype | Fresh | 4 months stored | 8 months stored | 12 months stored |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Basmati | | | | |
| Haryana Menak | 10.92 \pm 0.07 | 12.82 \pm 0.04 | 12.06 \pm 0.03 | 12.14 \pm 0.08 |
| HKR-98-476 | 11.40 \pm 0.21 | 12.17 \pm 0.16 | 12.31 \pm 0.08 | 12.43 \pm 0.08 |
| HBC-19 | 12.57 \pm 0.07 | 12.96 \pm 0.07 | 12.28 \pm 0.07 | 12.34 \pm 0.13 |
| HKR-228 | 11.63 \pm 0.14 | 13.67 \pm 0.04 | 12.43 \pm 0.05 | 12.31 \pm 0.04 |
| IET-18004 | 10.98 \pm 0.11 | 14.24 \pm 0.21 | 14.84 \pm 0.06 | 12.54 \pm 0.06 |
| Super basmati | 11.94 \pm 0.07 | 12.40 \pm 0.18 | 12.63 \pm 0.12 | 12.37 \pm 0.04 |
| Pusa sugandha | 12.16 \pm 0.18 | 12.23 \pm 0.22 | 12.26 \pm 0.09 | 12.48 \pm 0.10 |
| CSR-30 | 12.09 \pm 0.09 | 14.26 \pm 0.02 | 14.32 \pm 0.03 | 14.53 \pm 0.02 |
| Mean | 11.71 | 13.09 | 12.89 | 12.64 |
| Non-basmati | | | | |
| Govind | 12.25 \pm 0.15 | 15.90 \pm 0.08 | 14.84 \pm 0.12 | 14.97 \pm 0.12 |
| IR-64 | 11.40 \pm 0.10 | 14.77 \pm 0.19 | 15.07 \pm 0.03 | 14.60 \pm 0.08 |
| HKR-126 | 11.91 \pm 0.10 | 15.32 \pm 0.09 | 14.84 \pm 0.06 | 15.08 \pm 0.15 |
| HKR-47 | 12.49 \pm 0.15 | 14.60 \pm 0.06 | 15.11 \pm 0.06 | 15.38 \pm 0.30 |
| Mean | 12.01 | 15.15 | 14.97 | 15.01 |
| Mean (Basmati and non-basmati) | 11.81 | 13.78 | 13.58 | 13.43 |
| SE (m) | 0.55 | 1.26 | 1.33 | 1.33 |
| CD at 5% | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.22 |

* Each value is an average of two estimations

identical values but higher than IR-64 and HKR-126. Results also evinced that phytase activity increased maximum upto four months of storage and after eight months of storage, the enzyme activity remained almost static in all the genotypes. In fresh and after 4, 8 and 12 months the mean values for basmati rice were 11.71, 13.09, 12.89 and 12.64 respectively in comparison to 12.01, 15.15, 14.87 and 15.01 in non-basmati rice. The decline in phytic acid level during storage of rice earlier mentioned indirectly supports the present results that rice grain exhibit phytase action^{17,20-23}.

Peroxidase activity

The peroxidase activity showed regular gradual decrease in 4, 8 and 12 months stored rice, however reduction in activity was much more among non-basmati rice as compared to basmati rice (Table III). The overall mean values in fresh 4, 8 and 12 months stored rice were 1.22, 0.93, 0.52 and 0.36 units mg⁻¹ protein. IET-18004 and super basmati among basmati and Govind and HKR-47 among non-basmati exhibited identical values for this enzyme. Peroxidase is a key enzyme

TABLE III

Influence of Storage Period on Specific Activity of Peroxidase (units mg⁻¹ protein)* In Basmati and Non-Basmati Rice Genotypes

| Genotype | Fresh | 4 months stored | 8 months stored | 12 months stored |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Basmati | | | | |
| Haryana Menak | 1.23 ± 0.08 | 0.96 ± 0.06 | 0.50 ± 0.03 | 0.37 ± 0.01 |
| HKR-98-476 | 1.22 ± 0.07 | 1.09 ± 0.06 | 0.58 ± 0.03 | 0.52 ± 0.01 |
| HBC-19 | 1.23 ± 0.08 | 1.03 ± 0.06 | 0.45 ± 0.03 | 0.29 ± 0.02 |
| HKR-228 | 1.10 ± 0.08 | 0.81 ± 0.06 | 0.42 ± 0.03 | 0.33 ± 0.02 |
| IET-18004 | 1.25 ± 0.07 | 0.82 ± 0.06 | 0.64 ± 0.03 | 0.49 ± 0.01 |
| Super Basmati | 1.25 ± 0.07 | 1.17 ± 0.06 | 0.56 ± 0.03 | 0.42 ± 0.02 |
| Pusa Sugandha | 1.16 ± 0.08 | 0.96 ± 0.06 | 0.71 ± 0.03 | 0.28 ± 0.01 |
| CSR-30 | 1.11 ± 0.07 | 0.74 ± 0.06 | 0.38 ± 0.03 | 0.27 ± 0.01 |
| Mean | 1.19 | 0.95 | 0.53 | 0.37 |
| Non-basmati | | | | |
| Govind | 1.37 ± 0.08 | 0.98 ± 0.06 | 0.61 ± 0.03 | 0.30 ± 0.01 |
| IR-64 | 1.19 ± 0.07 | 0.90 ± 0.06 | 0.44 ± 0.03 | 0.41 ± 0.01 |
| HKR-126 | 1.13 ± 0.07 | 0.74 ± 0.06 | 0.51 ± 0.03 | 0.29 ± 0.01 |
| HKR-47 | 1.36 ± 0.08 | 0.92 ± 0.06 | 0.42 ± 0.03 | 0.36 ± 0.02 |
| Mean | 1.26 | 0.89 | 0.50 | 0.17 |
| Mean (Basmati and non-basmati) | 1.22 | 0.93 | 0.52 | 0.36 |
| SE (m) | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.09 |
| CD at 5% | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.03 |

* Each value is an average of two estimations

involved in ROS (Reactive Oxygen Species) scavenging and involved in protection of rice grain against ROS attack during storage³. The aging induced decline in peroxide activity is linked to decreased freshness of rice^{9,24}.

Amylase activity

Specific activity of β -amylase varied from 1.90 (HBC-19) to 2.72 (Pusa sugandha) and averaged to 2.24 in fresh basmati and in non-basmati from 1.31 (HKR-126) to 2.31 (Govinda) and averaged to 1.73 (Table IV). In general β -amylase activity was higher in basmati rice than non-basmati during aging and it declined with advancement of storage

period. The maximum decline observed was upto 4 months and also between 8 and 12 months of storage period. Appreciable decrease in amylase activity during storage in basmati and some fine varieties was also mentioned earlier²⁵.

Lipase activity

The results mentioned in Table V revealed very slight and feeble lipase activity in fresh and stored rice. Some genotypes both in basmati and non-basmati did not reveal any lipase activity in fresh as well as in four months aged rice. Chemical characteristics of lipids and associated enzyme activities

TABLE IV
Influence of Storage Period on Specific Activity of β -Amylase (mg maltose realised mg⁻¹ Protein)* In Basmati and Non-Basmati Rice Genotypes

| Genotype | Fresh | 4 months stored | 8 months stored | 12 months stored |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Basmati | | | | |
| Haryana Menak | 2.32 \pm 0.06 | 2.08 \pm 0.05 | 1.81 \pm 0.05 | 1.07 \pm 0.02 |
| HKR-98-476 | 1.81 \pm 0.06 | 1.81 \pm 0.04 | 1.78 \pm 0.07 | 1.64 \pm 0.02 |
| HBC-19 | 1.90 \pm 0.06 | 1.57 \pm 0.04 | 1.44 \pm 0.05 | 0.86 \pm 0.02 |
| HKR-228 | 2.09 \pm 0.06 | 1.67 \pm 0.08 | 1.85 \pm 0.05 | 0.97 \pm 0.02 |
| IET-18004 | 2.28 \pm 0.06 | 1.83 \pm 0.04 | 1.74 \pm 0.05 | 1.34 \pm 0.02 |
| Super basmati | 2.45 \pm 0.06 | 2.11 \pm 0.04 | 1.37 \pm 0.05 | 0.93 \pm 0.02 |
| Pusa sugandha | 2.72 \pm 0.07 | 1.99 \pm 0.04 | 1.52 \pm 0.05 | 1.15 \pm 0.08 |
| CSR-30 | 2.31 \pm 0.15 | 1.79 \pm 0.04 | 1.93 \pm 0.05 | 1.37 \pm 0.03 |
| Mean | 2.24 | 1.86 | 1.69 | 1.16 |
| Non-basmati | | | | |
| Govind | 2.31 \pm 0.09 | 2.12 \pm 0.04 | 1.71 \pm 0.05 | 1.21 \pm 0.04 |
| IR-64 | 1.72 \pm 0.06 | 1.65 \pm 0.04 | 1.46 \pm 0.05 | 0.74 \pm 0.02 |
| HKR-126 | 1.31 \pm 0.06 | 1.38 \pm 0.04 | 0.83 \pm 0.05 | 0.99 \pm 0.02 |
| HKR-47 | 1.56 \pm 0.06 | 1.04 \pm 0.04 | 1.07 \pm 0.05 | 1.24 \pm 0.02 |
| Mean | 1.73 | 1.55 | 1.27 | 1.05 |
| Mean (Basmati and non-basmati) | 2.07 | 1.76 | 1.54 | 1.13 |
| SE (m) | 2.31 | 2.13 | 1.71 | 1.21 |
| CD at 5% | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.06 |

* Each value is an average of two estimations

changes during storage result in affecting the market value of rice grains. Sources of lipases could be endogenous, however micro organisms populating in stored rice also elaborate lipases leading to hydrolysis of lipids and enzymatic oxidation of fatty acid by lipoxygenase. Lipase activity was earlier visualized in oat but enzyme did not indicate substrate specificity²⁶.

Summary and Conclusion

Eight basmati and four non-basmati rice genotypes were evaluated for aroma and

endogenous activities of phytase, peroxidase, amylase and lipase during one year of storage. Aroma in rice decreased gradually with progress of ageing. After all the storage periods, maximum VRS values were observed in Haryana Menak and minimum in HKR-98-476. Basmati rice genotypes in general possessed higher amylase activity than non-basmati types and activity declined with progress of ageing. Peroxidase activity showed regular declining trend and reduction in specific activity was much greater in non-basmati than basmati types. Phytase activity

TABLE V
Influence of Storage Period on Lipase Activity (meq/min/g grain)* In Basmati and Non-Basmati Rice Genotypes

| Genotype | Fresh | 4 months stored | 8 months stored | 12 months stored |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Basmati | | | | |
| Haryana Menak | ND | 0.001 ± 0.00 | 0.002 ± 0.00 | 0.002 ± 0.00 |
| HKR-98-476 | 0.014 ± 0.001 | 0.002 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 | 0.002 ± 0.00 |
| HBC-19 | ND | 0.001 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 |
| HKR-228 | ND | ND | 0.003 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 |
| IET-18004 | ND | ND | 0.005 ± 0.00 | ND |
| Super Basmati | 0.006 ± 0.000 | 0.002 ± 0.00 | 0.004 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 |
| Pusa Sugandha | ND | 0.001 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 | 0.002 ± 0.00 |
| CSR-30 | 0.003 ± 0.001 | 0.002 ± 0.00 | 0.004 ± 0.00 | ND |
| Non-basmati | | | | |
| Govind | ND | ND | 0.002 ± 0.00 | 0.002 ± 0.00 |
| IR-64 | ND | ND | 0.002 ± 0.00 | 0.004 ± 0.00 |
| HKR-126 | ND | 0.001 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 |
| HKR-47 | ND | 0.004 ± 0.00 | 0.003 ± 0.00 | 0.005 ± 0.00 |
| Mean | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| SE (m) | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| CD at 5% | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 |

ND : Not detected

* Each value is an average of two estimations

peaked upto four months and thereafter it remained more or less static. The non-basmati rice exhibited comparatively higher phytase

activity than their counterparts. Both in fresh and stored rice, very feeble lipase activity was recorded.

REFERENCES

1. Chrastil, J. Effect of storage on the physicochemical and quality factors of rice: Rice Science and Technology, Marcel Dekkar Inc Madison Avenue, New York, 1994.
2. Ali, A., Karim, M. A., Ali, S. S. and Majid, A. R. Relationship of transplanting time to grain quality in Basmati 385. *IRRV*, 1991, **16**, 11.
3. Pinson, S.R.M. Inheritance of aroma in six rice cultivars. *Crop Sci.* 1994, **34**, 1151.
4. Nayak, A. R. and Acharya, V. S. Inheritance of scent in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), *Ind. J. Genet.*, 2004, **64**, 59.
5. Nolan, K.B. and Duffin, P.A. Effects of phytate on mineral bioavailability, *In vitro* studies on Mg, Ca, Fe, Cu, Zn, Cd solubilities in presence of phytate. *J. Sci, Food Agric.*, 1987, **40**, 79.

6. Reinhold, J. G. Phytate destruction by yeast fermentation in whole wheat meals *J. Amer. Dietet. Assoc.*, 1975, **66**, 38.
7. Cosgrove, D.L. The chemistry and biochemistry of inositol polyphosphates. *Rev. Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1966, **16**, 209.
8. Asatsuma, S., Sowada, C., Itoh, K. Okito, M. and Mitsui. Involvement of α -amylase 1-1 in starch degradation in rice chloroplast. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 2005, **46**, 859.
9. Chen, T. F. and Chen, C. L. Analyzing the freshness of intact rice grain by colour determination of peroxidase activity. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 2003, **83**, 1214.
10. HAU, Package of practices for rabi crops, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India, 1981.
11. Mahindru, S.N. Hand Book of Food Analysis, Swan Publishers, New Delhi, India, 1987.
12. Bernfield, P. Amylase, α and β In: *Methods in Enzymology* Colowick, S. and Kaplan, P. (eds), 1955, **1**, 149.
13. Heinonen, J.K. and Lahti, R.J. A new and convenient colorimetric determination of inorganic thophosphate and its application to the assay of inorganic phosphatase. *Anal. Bio Chem.*, 1981, **113**, 313.
14. Summer, J.B. and Gjessing, E.C. Peroxidase isoenzyme from horse radish root, isolation and physical properties. *Arch. Bio Chem.*, 1943, **2**, 291.
15. Sadasivam, S. and Manickam, S. In: *Biochemical Methods for Agricultural Sciences*, 1992, 114.
16. Ahuja, S.C., Panwar, D.V.S. Ahuja, U. and Gupta, K.R. Basmati rice - the scented pearl. Chaudhary Charan Singh, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India, 1995.
17. Deka, S.C., Sood, D.R. and Gupta, K.R. Studies on phytic acid, aroma and leachates of basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L) during storage. *Ind. J. Nutr. Dietet.*, 2000, **37**, 398.
18. Widjaja, R., Craske, J.D. and Wooton, M. Changes in volatile components of paddy, brown and fragrant rices during storage. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 1999b, **71**, 218.
19. Yoshihashi, T., Huong, N.T.T., Surojnameta Kul, V., Tungtrakul, P. and Varanyanad, W. Effect of storage conditions on 2-acetyl - 1- pyrroline content in aromatic rice variety, Khao Dawk Mali 105. *J. Food Sci.*, 2005, **70**, 534.
20. Peers, F. G. The phytase of wheat. *Biochem. J.*, 1953, **33**, 102.
21. Mameesh, M.A. and Tomar, M. Phytate content of some popular Kuwaiti foods. *Cereal Chem.*, 1993, **70**, 502.
22. Eeckhout, V.V. and De Paepe, M. Total phosphorus, phytate phosphorus and phytase activity in plant food stuffs. *Animal Food Sci. Technol.*, 1994, **47**, 19.
23. Viveros, A., Centeno, C., Brenes, A., Canales, R. and Lorenz, A. Phytase and acid phosphatase activity in plant food stuffs. *J. Agri Food Chem.*, 2000, **48**, 4009.
24. Nandi, S., Sen-Mandi, S. and Sinha, T.P. Active oxygen and their scavengers in rice seeds (*Oryza stiva* L cv IET 4094) aged under tropical environment conditions. *Seed Sci. Res.*, 1997, **7**, 253.
25. Ramanathan, N. and Kulkarni, P.R. Effect of storage on the physicochemical properties of rice starch and cooking quality of rice grain. *Zeitschrift Fir. Exanhreng Swusenscht*, 1984, **23**, 148.
26. Pomeranz, Y. Proteins, and amino acids of barley, oats and buckwheat. In - Protein nutritional quality of foods and feeds. Part 2 Quality plant breeding - Composition and antinutrients. Friedman M (ed) Marcel Dekker, New York.